

can be predicted from the citation prediction approach for other disciplines of computer science. It would be interesting to compare and contrast the accuracy of predictions of various topics extracted from publications in other computer science disciplines.

8. REFERENCES

- [1] U. B. M. S, S. M, and J. B. Atypical combinations and scientific impact. *Science*, 342(6157):468–472, 2013.
- [2] G. Bianconi and A.-L. Barabási. Competition and multiscaling in evolving networks. *Europhysics Letters (EPL)*, 54(4):436–442, 2001.
- [3] D. Blei, A. Ng, and M. Jordan. Latent dirichlet allocation. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 3:993–1022, 2003.
- [4] N. Blumm, G. Ghoshal, Z. Forró, M. Schich, J.-P. Bianconi, Ginestra andBouchaud, and A.-L. Barabási. Dynamics of ranking processes in complex systems. *Physical Review Letters*, 109(12), 2012.
- [5] A. C. Cameron and F. A. Windmeijer. An r-squared measure of goodness of fit for some common nonlinear regression models. *Journal of Econometrics*, 77(2):329–342, apr 1997.
- [6] S. Datta, N. Kumar, and S. Sarkar. The social network of software engineering research. In *ISEC Š12: Proceedings of the 5th India Software Engineering Conference*, pages 61–70. ACM, 2012.
- [7] S. Datta, S. Sarkar, A. Sajeev, and N. Kumar. Discovering the rise and fall of software engineering ideas from scholarly publication data. In *Proceedings of the 24th International Conference on World Wide Web - WWW'15 Companion*. Association for Computing Machinery (ACM), 2015.
- [8] S. Datta, S. Sarkar, and A. S. M. Sajeev. How long will this live? discovering the lifespans of software engineering ideas. *IEEE Transactions on Big Data*, 2(2):124–137, jun 2016.
- [9] D. de Solla Price. *Little Science, Big Science... and Beyond*. Columbia Univ Pr, 1963.
- [10] D. J. de Solla Price. Networks of scientific papers. *Science*, 149(3683):510–515, 1965.
- [11] G. E. Citation indexes for science: A new dimension in documentation through association of ideas. *Sci*, 122(3159):108–111, 1955.
- [12] Y.-H. Eom and S. Fortunato. Characterizing and modeling citation dynamics. *PLoS ONE*, 6(9):e24926, sep 2011.
- [13] W. Glanzel. Towards a model for diachronic and synchronous citation analyses. *Scientometrics*, 60(3):511–522, 2004.
- [14] H. KB and S. P. Modelling aging characteristics in citation networks. *Physica A*, 368(2):575–582, 2006.
- [15] W. M, Y. G, and Y. D. Measuring the preferential attachment mechanism in citation network. *Physica A*, 387(18):4692–4698, 2008.
- [16] A. Sinha, Z. Shen, Y. Song, H. Ma, D. Eide, B.-J. P. Hsu, and K. Wang. An overview of microsoft academic service (MAS) and applications. In *Proceedings of the 24th International Conference on World Wide Web - WWW'15 Companion*, pages 243–246. ACM, 2015.
- [17] J. Tang, J. Zhang, L. Yao, J. Li, L. Zhang, and Z. Su. Arnetminer: extraction and mining of academic social networks. In *Proc. of the 14th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining*, 2008.
- [18] D. Wang, C. Song, and A.-L. Barabási. Quantifying long-term scientific impact. *Science*, 342(6154):127–132, 2013.
- [19] J. Wang. Citation time window choice for research impact evaluation. *Scientometrics*, 94(3):851–872, 2013.